

SPECIALIZATION OF MODULES OVER A LOCAL RING

DAM VAN NHI AND NGÔ VIỆT TRUNG

Dedicated to David Buchsbaum

INTRODUCTION

Given an object defined for a family of parameters $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ we can often substitute u by a family $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ of elements of an infinite field K to obtain a similar object which is called a specialization. The new object usually behaves like the given object for almost all α , that is, for all α except perhaps those lying on a proper algebraic subvariety of K^m . Though specialization is a classical method in Algebraic Geometry, there is no systematic theory for what can be “specialized”.

The first step toward an algebraic theory of specialization was the introduction of the specialization of an ideal by W. Krull [K1], [K2]. Given an ideal I in a polynomial ring $R = k(u)[X]$, where k is a subfield of K , he defined the specialization of I as the ideal

$$I_\alpha = \{f(\alpha, X) \mid f(u, X) \in I \cap k[u, X]\}$$

of the polynomial ring $R_\alpha = k(\alpha)[X]$. For almost all $\alpha \in K^m$, I_α inherits most of the basic properties of I . A. Seidenberg [S] and W.-E. Kuan [Ku1], [Ku2], [Ku3] used specializations of ideals to prove that hyperplane sections of normal varieties are normal again under certain conditions. Inspired by their works, the second author studied the preservation of properties from the factor ring R/I to R_α/I_α with regard to hypersurface sections [T2]. However, since there was no appropriate theory for the specialization of modules, many ring-theoretic properties that are characterized by homological means could not be investigated.

The task of finding a suitable definition for specializations of modules is not so easy since we can not specialize elements of an arbitrary R -modules. Recently, using finite free presentations the authors introduced the specialization of an R -module which covers Krull’s specialization of an ideal and for which a systematic theory can be developed [NT]. The aim of this paper is to define and to study specializations of modules over a local ring $(R/I)_P$, where P is an arbitrary prime ideal of R . For this we only need to consider R_P -modules, and we will follow the approach of the previous paper. There are two obstacles for the task. Firstly, the specialization P_α of P needs not to be a prime ideal so that we may have different specializations for a single local ring R_P . Secondly, unlike the case of R -modules, there is no existing theory on specializations of ideals of R_P . Despite these obstacles we can develop a systematic theory of specializations of R_P -modules and we can show that almost all properties of R_P -modules specialize.

The paper is divided in four sections. In Section 1 we introduce specializations of finitely generated R_P -modules and specializations of homomorphisms between them.

The authors are partially supported by the National Basic Research Program.

We shall see that these notions are unique up to (canonical) isomorphisms. Moreover, we also show that the new notions are compatible with the specializations of R -modules introduced in the previous paper. In Section 2 we study basic properties of specializations of modules. The key result is the preservation of exact sequences. This result allows us to show that specializations preserve submodules and ideals and basic operations between them. In particular, specializations do not change the dimension, the height and, if k is a perfect field, also the length. In Section 3 we prove that specializations commute with the Tor and Ext functors. Using Grothendieck's local duality we can show that the annihilators of local cohomology modules specialize. As consequences, specializations preserve the Cohen-Macaulayness, the generalized Cohen-Macaulayness, and, if k is a perfect field, also the Buchsbaumness. Section 4 is devoted to specializations of factor rings of R_P . We will study when such a specialization is a domain and we shall see that it preserves the Gorensteiness and the normality.

We will keep the notations of this introduction throughout this paper and refer to [BH] and [E] for unexplained terminology. For convenience we will often skip the phrase “for almost all α ” when we are working with specializations.

1. DEFINITION OF SPECIALIZATIONS OF R_P -MODULES

Let P be an arbitrary prime ideal of R . The first obstacle in defining the specialization of R_P is that the specialization P_α of P need not to be a prime ideal.

Example. Let $P = (uX^2 - 1) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(u)[X]$. Then P is a prime ideal, whereas

$$P_\alpha = (\alpha X^2 - 1) = (\sqrt{\alpha}X - 1) \cap (\sqrt{\alpha}X + 1)$$

is not a prime ideal for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

The natural candidate for the specialization of R_P is the local ring $(R_\alpha)_\wp$, where $\wp$ is an arbitrary associated prime ideal of P_α . Such a local ring was already considered with regard to specializations of points in [T2].

Definition. We call $(R_\alpha)_\wp$ a *specialization* of R_P with respect to α .

For short we will put $S = R_P$ and $S_\alpha = (R_\alpha)_\wp$. The notion S_α is not unique. However, all local rings S_α have the same dimension as S . This follows from the case $I = P$ of the following more general fact.

Lemma 1.1. [T2, Lemma 1.5] *Let I be an ideal in R . For almost all α ,*

- (i) I_α is unmixed if I is unmixed,
- (ii) $\text{ht } I_\alpha S_\alpha = \text{ht } IS$.

Let f be an arbitrary element of R . We may write $f = p(u, x)/q(u)$, $p(u, x) \in k[u, x]$, $q(u) \in k[u] \setminus \{0\}$. For any α such that $q(\alpha) \neq 0$ we define $f_\alpha := p(\alpha, x)/q(\alpha)$. It is easy to check that this element does not depend on the choice of $p(u, x)$ and $q(u)$ for almost all α . Now, for every fraction $a = f/g$, $f, g \in R$, $g \neq 0$, we define $a_\alpha := f_\alpha/g_\alpha$ if $g_\alpha \neq 0$. Then a_α is uniquely determined for almost all α .

The following lemma shows that the above definition of S_α reflects the intrinsic substitution $u \rightarrow \alpha$ of elements of R .

Lemma 1.2. *Let a be an arbitrary element of S . Then $a_\alpha \in S_\alpha$ for almost all α .*

Proof. Let $a = f/g$ with $f, g \in R$, $g \notin P$. We have to show that $g_\alpha \notin \wp$. Since P is prime, $P : g = P$. By [Kr1, Satz 3], $P_\alpha = (P : g)_\alpha = P_\alpha : g_\alpha$. Hence $g_\alpha \notin \wp$. $\square$

To define the specialization of a finitely generated S -module we need to define the specialization of a homomorphism of free S -modules of finite ranks.

Let F, G be finitely generated free S -modules. Let $\phi : F \longrightarrow G$ be an arbitrary homomorphism of free S -modules of finite ranks. With fixed bases of F and G , ϕ is given by a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, $a_{ij} \in S$. By Lemma 1.2, the matrix $A_\alpha := ((a_{ij})_\alpha)$ has all its entries in S_α for almost all α . Let F_α and G_α be free S_α -modules of the same rank as F and G , respectively.

Definition. For fixed bases of F_α and G_α , the homomorphism $\phi_\alpha : F_\alpha \longrightarrow G_\alpha$ given by the matrix A_α is called the *specialization* of ϕ with respect to α .

The definition of ϕ_α does not depend on the choice of the bases of F, G in the sense that if B is the matrix of ϕ with respect to other bases of F, G , then there are bases of F_α, G_α such that B_α is the matrix of ϕ_α with respect to these bases.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $\phi, \psi : F \longrightarrow G$ and $\delta : G \longrightarrow H$ be homomorphisms of finitely generated free S -modules. Then, for almost all α ,*

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi + \psi)_\alpha &= \phi_\alpha + \psi_\alpha, \\ (\delta\phi)_\alpha &= \delta_\alpha\phi_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from the matrix presentations of the homomorphisms. $\square$

Now we define the specialization of an arbitrary finitely generated S -module as follows.

Definition. Let L be a finitely generated S -module and $F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi} F_0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$ a finite free presentation of L . The S_α -module $L_\alpha := \text{Coker } \phi_\alpha$ is called a *specialization* of L (with respect to ϕ).

Remark. If L is a free S -module of finite rank, then $0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$ is a finite free presentation of L . Therefore L_α as defined above is a free S_α -module with $\text{rank } L_\alpha = \text{rank } L$. This shows that the earlier introduction of F_α and G_α is compatible with the above definition.

The definition of L_α depends on the chosen presentation of L . To show that L_α is uniquely determined up to isomorphisms we need to define the specialization of a homomorphism of finitely generated S -modules.

Let $v : L \longrightarrow M$ be a homomorphism of finitely generated S -modules. Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} F_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & F_0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow v_1 & & \downarrow v_0 & & \downarrow v & & \\ G_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & G_0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where the rows are finite free presentations of L, M . By Lemma 1.3, $\psi_\alpha(v_1)_\alpha = (v_0)_\alpha \phi_\alpha$. Hence there is an induced homomorphism $v_\alpha : L_\alpha \longrightarrow M_\alpha$, which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (F_1)_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\phi_\alpha} & (F_0)_\alpha & \longrightarrow & L_\alpha & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow (v_1)_\alpha & & \downarrow (v_0)_\alpha & & \downarrow v_\alpha & & \\ (G_1)_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\psi_\alpha} & (G_0)_\alpha & \longrightarrow & M_\alpha & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

commutative for almost all α .

Definition. We call the induced homomorphism v_α a *specialization* of $v : L \longrightarrow M$ with respect to (ϕ, ψ) .

The above definition does not depend on the choice of v_0, v_1 . Indeed, if we are given two other maps $w_i : F_i \longrightarrow G_i$, $i = 0, 1$, which lift the same homomorphism $v : L \longrightarrow M$, then the maps $(w_i)_\alpha$ induce the same map $v_\alpha : L_\alpha \longrightarrow M_\alpha$. In particular, the specialization of id_L with respect to (ϕ, ϕ) is the identity map id_{L_α} .

Lemma 1.4. *Let $v, w : L \longrightarrow M$ and $u : M \longrightarrow N$ be homomorphisms of finitely generated S -modules. Then, for almost all α ,*

$$\begin{aligned} (v + w)_\alpha &= v_\alpha + w_\alpha, \\ (uv)_\alpha &= u_\alpha v_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is an easy consequence of Lemma 1.2 and the above definition. $\square$

Now we will see that L_α is uniquely determined up to canonical isomorphisms. Let $F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi} F_0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$ and $G_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} G_0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$ be two different presentations of L . Let $\varepsilon_1 : L_\alpha \longrightarrow L'_\alpha$ and $\varepsilon_2 : L'_\alpha \longrightarrow L_\alpha$ denote the specialization of id_L with respect to (ϕ, ψ) and to (ψ, ϕ) , respectively. By Lemma 1.4, $\varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1$ and $\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2$ are the specializations of id_L with respect to (ϕ, ϕ) and (ψ, ψ) . Hence $\varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1 = \text{id}_{L_\alpha}$ and $\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 = \text{id}_{L'_\alpha}$. Therefore $\varepsilon_1 = (\text{id}_L)_\alpha$ is an isomorphism.

We end this section by showing that our definition of specializations of S -modules is consistent with that of R -modules introduced in [NT].

Proposition 1.5. *Let D be a finitely generated R -module. For almost all α ,*

$$(D \otimes_R S)_\alpha \cong D_\alpha \otimes_{R_\alpha} S_\alpha.$$

Proof. Let $E_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_0 \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow 0$ be a finite free presentation of D . Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a representing matrix for φ . Tensoring with S we get a finite free presentation:

$$E_1 \otimes_R S \xrightarrow{\varphi \otimes S} E_0 \otimes_R S \longrightarrow D \otimes_R S \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\varphi \otimes S$ is represented by the matrix A . By the definition of specialization of S -module, $(D \otimes S)_\alpha$ is the cokernel of the map $(\varphi \otimes S)_\alpha : (E_1 \otimes_R S)_\alpha \longrightarrow (E_0 \otimes_R S)_\alpha$, where $(\varphi \otimes S)_\alpha$ is represented by the matrix A_α . On the other hand, from the exact sequence $(E_1)_\alpha \xrightarrow{\varphi_\alpha} (E_0)_\alpha \longrightarrow D_\alpha \longrightarrow 0$ we get the exact sequence

$$(E_1)_\alpha \otimes_{R_\alpha} S_\alpha \xrightarrow{\varphi_\alpha \otimes S_\alpha} (E_0)_\alpha \otimes_{R_\alpha} S_\alpha \longrightarrow D_\alpha \otimes_{R_\alpha} S_\alpha \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $(E_1)_\alpha \otimes_{R_\alpha} S_\alpha$ and $(E_0)_\alpha \otimes_{R_\alpha} S_\alpha$ are free S_α -modules of the same ranks as $(E_1 \otimes_R S)_\alpha$ and $(E_0 \otimes_R S)_\alpha$, respectively, and $\varphi_\alpha \otimes S_\alpha$ is represented by the matrix A_α . Thus, we can conclude that $(D \otimes_R S)_\alpha \cong D_\alpha \otimes_{R_\alpha} S_\alpha$. $\square$

Corollary 1.6. *Let I be an ideal of R . Then $(IS)_\alpha \cong I_\alpha S_\alpha$ for almost all α .*

Proof. Note that I_α is also the specialization of I as an R -module [NT]. Since $IS = I \otimes_R S$ and $I_\alpha S_\alpha = I_\alpha \otimes_{R_\alpha} S_\alpha$, the conclusion follows from Proposition 1.5. $\square$

2. BASIC PROPERTIES OF SPECIALIZATIONS OF R_P -MODULES

We will first show that specializations of S -modules ($S = R_P$) preserve the exactness of finite complexes of free modules.

Let $\phi : F \longrightarrow G$ is a homomorphism of free S -modules of finite ranks and let A be the matrix of ϕ with respect to fixed bases of F, G . Denote by $I_t(\phi)$ the ideal generated by the $t \times t$ -minors of A . Define $\text{rank } \phi = \max\{t \mid I_t(\phi) \neq 0\}$ and put $I(\phi) := I_d(\phi)$ if $d = \text{rank } \phi$.

Let $\mathbf{F}_\bullet : 0 \longrightarrow F_\ell \xrightarrow{\phi_\ell} F_{\ell-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} F_0$ be a complex of free S -modules of finite ranks. By a criterion of Buchsbaum and Eisenbud, $\mathbf{F}_\bullet$ is exact if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank } \phi_j + \text{rank } \phi_{j+1} &= \text{rank } F_j, \\ \text{depth } I(\phi_j) &\geq j \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ (see [BE, Corollary 1]). By Lemma 1.3 the sequence

$$(\mathbf{F}_\bullet)_\alpha : 0 \longrightarrow (F_\ell)_\alpha \xrightarrow{(\phi_\ell)_\alpha} (F_{\ell-1})_\alpha \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow (F_1)_\alpha \xrightarrow{(\phi_1)_\alpha} (F_0)_\alpha$$

is a complex of free S_α -modules. Hence we can also apply the above criterion to $(\mathbf{F}_\bullet)_\alpha$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{F}_\bullet$ be an exact complex of free S -modules of finite ranks. Then $(\mathbf{F}_\bullet)_\alpha$ is an exact complex for almost all α .*

Proof. By definition, $\text{rank}(F_j)_\alpha = \text{rank } F_j$ for $j = 0, \dots, \ell$. It is easy to check that $\text{rank}(\phi_j)_\alpha = \text{rank } \phi_j$ (see [NT, Lemma 1.4 (i)]). Hence

$$\text{rank}(\phi_j)_\alpha + \text{rank}(\phi_{j+1})_\alpha = \text{rank } \phi_j + \text{rank } \phi_{j+1} = \text{rank } F_j = \text{rank}(F_j)_\alpha.$$

It remains to show that $\text{depth } I((\phi_j)_\alpha) \geq j$. Let ϕ_j be given by a matrix A_j . Let $a_1, \dots, a_s$ be the $d \times d$ -minors of A_j , $d = \text{rank } \phi_j$. We may write $a_j = f_j/g_j$ with $f_j, g_j \in R$, $g_j \notin P$. Then $I((\phi_j)_\alpha) = ((a_1)_\alpha, \dots, (a_s)_\alpha) = ((f_1)_\alpha, \dots, (f_s)_\alpha)S_\alpha$. Let I be the ideal of R generated by the elements $f_1, \dots, f_s$. Then $I_\alpha = ((f_1)_\alpha, \dots, (f_s)_\alpha)$ by [NT, Corollary 3.3]. Therefore, $I((\phi_j)_\alpha) = I_\alpha S_\alpha$. By Lemma 1.1, $\text{ht } I_\alpha S_\alpha = \text{ht } IS$. Note that $IS = I(\phi_j)$. Then, since S and S_α are Cohen-Macaulay rings,

$$\text{depth } I((\phi_j)_\alpha) = \text{ht } I((\phi_j)_\alpha) = \text{ht } I_\alpha S_\alpha = \text{ht } I(\phi_j) = \text{depth } I(\phi_j) \geq j. \quad \square$$

Now we can proceed as in [NT] to establish basic properties of specializations of S -modules.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of finitely generated S -modules. Then $0 \longrightarrow L_\alpha \longrightarrow M_\alpha \longrightarrow N_\alpha \longrightarrow 0$ is exact for almost all α .*

Proof. See the proof of [NT, Theorem 2.4]. $\square$

Let L be a finitely generated S -module and M an arbitrary submodule of L . We can consider M_α as a submodule of L_α . Indeed, the map $M_\alpha \longrightarrow L_\alpha$ is injective by Theorem 2.2. This map is canonical because different specializations M_α , M'_α of M have the same image in L_α . That follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M_\alpha & \\ & \searrow & \\ (\text{id}_M)_\alpha & \wr & L_\alpha \\ & \nearrow & \\ & M'_\alpha & \end{array}$$

Unless otherwise specified, we will always identify M_α with a submodule of L_α . In particular, the specialization $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$ of any ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of S can be identified with an ideal of S_α .

Lemma 2.3. *Let M, N be submodules of a finitely generated S -module L . For almost all α , there are canonical isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned} (L/M)_\alpha &\cong L_\alpha/M_\alpha, \\ (M \cap N)_\alpha &\cong M_\alpha \cap N_\alpha, \\ (M + N)_\alpha &\cong M_\alpha + N_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See the proof of [NT, Theorem 3.2]. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $L = Se_1 + \cdots + Se_s$ be an S -module. For almost all α , there exist elements $(e_1)_\alpha, \dots, (e_s)_\alpha \in L_\alpha$ such that $(Se_j)_\alpha = S_\alpha(e_j)_\alpha$, $j = 1, \dots, s$, and*

$$L_\alpha = S_\alpha(e_1)_\alpha + \cdots + S_\alpha(e_s)_\alpha.$$

In particular, if $a_1, \dots, a_s$ are elements of S and $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_s)$, then

$$\mathfrak{a}_\alpha = ((a_1)_\alpha, \dots, (a_s)_\alpha).$$

Proof. For the first statement see the proof of [NT, Corollary 3.3]. For the second statement we only need to consider the case $\mathfrak{a} = a_1S$ is a principal ideal. Write $a_1 = f/g$ with $f, g \in R$. Then $\mathfrak{a} = fS$. Note that $(fR)_\alpha = f_\alpha R_\alpha$. Then, using Corollary 1.6 we obtain $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha = (fS)_\alpha = f_\alpha S_\alpha = (a_1)_\alpha S_\alpha$. $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *Let L be a finitely generated S -module and $\mathfrak{a}$ an ideal of S . For almost all α we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (0 :_L \mathfrak{a})_\alpha &\cong 0 :_{L_\alpha} \mathfrak{a}_\alpha, \\ (\mathfrak{a}L)_\alpha &\cong \mathfrak{a}_\alpha L_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See the proof [NT, Proposition 3.6]. $\square$

Theorem 2.6. *Let L be a finitely generated S -module. Then, for almost all α ,*

- (i) $\text{Ann } L_\alpha = (\text{Ann } L)_\alpha$,
- (ii) $\dim L_\alpha = \dim L$.

Proof. See the proof [NT, Theorem 3.4]. $\square$

Corollary 2.7. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}$ be arbitrary ideals of S . For almost all α ,*

$$\text{ht } \mathfrak{a}_\alpha / \mathfrak{b}_\alpha = \text{ht } \mathfrak{a} / \mathfrak{b}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_s$ be a primary decomposition of $\mathfrak{a}$. By Lemma 2.3, $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha = (\mathfrak{q}_1)_\alpha \cap \dots \cap (\mathfrak{q}_s)_\alpha$. Hence we only need to consider the case $\mathfrak{a}$ is a primary ideal. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ denote the associated prime ideal of $\mathfrak{a}$. Then $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{p}_\alpha$ share the same radical. Hence $\text{ht } \mathfrak{a}_\alpha / \mathfrak{b}_\alpha = \text{ht } \mathfrak{p}_\alpha / \mathfrak{b}_\alpha$. Now we will show that $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p}_\alpha / \mathfrak{b}_\alpha = \text{ht } \mathfrak{a} / \mathfrak{b}$. Let $\wp'$ be an arbitrary associated prime of $\mathfrak{p}_\alpha$. By Proposition 1.6 we may consider $S_{\wp'}$ and $\mathfrak{b}_\alpha S_{\wp'}$ as specialisations of $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathfrak{b} S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with respect to α . By Lemma 2.3, $(S_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{b} S_{\mathfrak{p}})_\alpha = S_{\wp'} / \mathfrak{b}_\alpha S_{\wp'}$. Thus, using Theorem 2.6 (ii) we get

$$\text{ht } \wp'_\alpha / \mathfrak{b}_\alpha = \dim S_{\wp'} / \mathfrak{b}_\alpha S_{\wp'} = \dim S_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{b} S_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} / \mathfrak{b} = \text{ht } \mathfrak{a} / \mathfrak{b}.$$

Hence $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p}_\alpha / \mathfrak{b}_\alpha = \text{ht } \mathfrak{a} / \mathfrak{b}$. $\square$

Proposition 2.8. *Let L be a S -module of finite length. Then L_α is a S_α -module of finite length for almost all α . Moreover, $\ell(L_\alpha) = \ell(L)$ if k is a perfect field.*

Proof. The first statement follows from the fact that $\dim L_\alpha = \dim L = 0$. To prove the second statement we apply Theorem 2.2 to a composition series of L in order to restrict to the case $\ell(L) = 1$. Then $L \cong S/PS$. By Corollary 1.6 and Lemma 2.3, $L_\alpha \cong (S/PS)_\alpha = S_\alpha / P_\alpha S_\alpha$. If k is a perfect field, every extension of k is separable. Thus, P_α is a radical ideal by [Kr2, Satz 14]. So $P_\alpha S_\alpha = \wp S_\alpha$. Hence $\ell(L_\alpha) = \ell(S_\alpha / \wp S_\alpha) = 1$. $\square$

3. PRESERVATIONS OF HOMOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

This section concerns homological properties which are preserved by specializations.

Theorem 3.1. *Let L be a finitely generated S -module. For almost all α ,*

- (i) $\text{proj. dim } L_\alpha = \text{proj. dim } L$,
- (ii) $\text{depth } L_\alpha = \text{depth } L$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{F}_\bullet : 0 \longrightarrow F_\ell \xrightarrow{\phi_\ell} F_{\ell-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} F_0 \longrightarrow L$ be a minimal free resolution of L . Let ϕ_t be given by the matrix $A_t = (a_{tij})$ with respect to fixed bases of $F_0, \dots, F_\ell$, $t = 1, \dots, \ell$. Since $\mathbf{F}_\bullet$ is minimal, $a_{tij} \in PS$. By Lemma 2.1, the complex

$$(\mathbf{F}_\bullet)_\alpha : 0 \longrightarrow (F_\ell)_\alpha \xrightarrow{(\phi_\ell)_\alpha} (F_{\ell-1})_\alpha \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow (F_1)_\alpha \xrightarrow{(\phi_1)_\alpha} (F_0)_\alpha \longrightarrow L_\alpha$$

is a free resolution of L_α . Since $(A_t)_\alpha = ((a_{tij})_\alpha)$ is the representing matrix of $(\phi_t)_\alpha$ and since $(a_{tij})_\alpha \in P_\alpha S_\alpha = \wp S_\alpha$, the free resolution $(\mathbf{F}_\bullet)_\alpha$ of L_α is minimal. Hence $\text{proj. dim } L_\alpha = \text{proj. dim } L$.

Since S and S_α are Cohen-Macaulay rings, $\text{depth } S_\alpha = \text{ht } \wp = \text{ht } P = \text{depth } S$ by Lemma 1.1. By Auslander-Buchsbaum formula [E, Theorem 19.9] we have

$$\text{depth } L_\alpha = \text{depth } S_\alpha - \text{proj. dim } L_\alpha = \text{depth } S - \text{proj. dim } L = \text{depth } L. \quad \square$$

Corollary 3.2. *Let L be a finitely generated S -module. If L is a Cohen-Macaulay S -module, then L_α is also a Cohen-Macaulay S_α -module for almost all α .*

Proof. By Theorem 2.6 (ii) and Theorem 3.1 (ii) we have

$$\text{depth } L_\alpha = \text{depth } L = \dim L = \dim L_\alpha. \quad \square$$

Similarly as in [NT] we can use Theorem 2.2 to show that specializations commute with the Tor and the Ext functors.

Proposition 3.3. *Let L and M be finitely generated S -modules. For almost all α ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{S_\alpha}^i(L_\alpha, M_\alpha) &\cong \text{Ext}_S^i(L, M)_\alpha, \quad i \geq 0, \\ \text{Tor}_i^{S_\alpha}(L_\alpha, M_\alpha) &\cong \text{Tor}_i^S(L, M)_\alpha, \quad i \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See the proofs of [NT, Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3]. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *Let L be a finitely generated S -module and $\mathfrak{a}$ an ideal of S . For almost all α ,*

- (i) $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}_\alpha, L_\alpha) = \text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, L)$,
- (ii) $\text{grade } L_\alpha = \text{grade } L$.

Proof. See the proof of [NT, Corollary 4.4]. $\square$

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ denote the maximal ideals PS of S and $\wp S_\alpha$ of S_α . Let $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(L)$ and $H_{\mathfrak{n}}^i(L_\alpha)$ denote the i th local cohomology module of L and L_α with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$. Put $t = \dim S$. By the local duality theorem of Grothendieck we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(L) &\cong \text{Ext}_S^{t-i}(L, S)^*, \\ \text{Ext}_S^i(L, S) &\cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{t-i}(L)^*, \end{aligned}$$

where $*$ denotes the Matlis duality (see e.g. [BH, Section 3.2]). Thus, in order to study $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(L)$ we may pass to $\text{Ext}_S^{t-i}(L, S)$.

First we will study the specializations of annihilators of $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(L)$, which carry important information on the struture of L [Fa], [Sc].

Lemma 3.5. *Let L be a finitely generated S -module. For almost all α ,*

$$\text{Ann } H_{\mathfrak{n}}^i(L_\alpha) = (\text{Ann } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(L))_\alpha, \quad i \geq 0.$$

Proof. By local duality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ann } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(L) &= \text{Ann } \text{Ext}_S^{t-i}(L, S) \\ \text{Ann } H_{\mathfrak{n}}^i(L_\alpha) &= \text{Ann } \text{Ext}_{S_\alpha}^{t-i}(L_\alpha, S_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 2.6 (i) we obtain

$$\text{Ann } \text{Ext}_{S_\alpha}^{t-i}(L_\alpha, S_\alpha) = \text{Ann } \text{Ext}_S^{t-i}(L, S)_\alpha = (\text{Ann } \text{Ext}_S^{t-i}(L, S))_\alpha.$$

Hence $\text{Ann } H_{\mathfrak{n}}^i(L_\alpha) = (\text{Ann } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(L))_\alpha$, $i \geq 0$. $\square$

Recall that a finitely generated module L is said to be a *generalized Cohen-Macaulay module* if $\ell(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(L)) < \infty$ for all $i = 0, \dots, \dim L - 1$ (see e.g. [T3]).

Theorem 3.6. *Let L be a generalized Cohen-Macaulay S -module. Then L_α is also a generalized Cohen-Macaulay S_α -module for almost all α . Moreover, if k is a perfect field,*

$$\ell(H_{\mathfrak{n}}^i(L_\alpha)) = \ell(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(L)), \quad i = 0, \dots, \dim L - 1.$$

Proof. Let $d = \dim L$. By Theorem 2.6 (ii), $\dim L_\alpha = d$. For $i = 0, \dots, d-1$, $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(L)$ is annihilated by some power of $\mathfrak{m}$. Hence $H_{\mathfrak{n}}^i(L_\alpha)$ is annihilated by some power of $\mathfrak{n}$ by Lemma 3.5. By local duality, $\text{Ext}_{S_\alpha}^{t-i}(L_\alpha, S_\alpha)$ is annihilated by some power of $\mathfrak{n}$, too. Since $\text{Ext}_{S_\alpha}^{t-i}(L_\alpha, S_\alpha)$ is a finitely generated S_α -module, $\text{Ext}_{S_\alpha}^{t-i}(L_\alpha, S_\alpha)$ has finite length. By [BH, Proposition 3.2.12], $\ell(H_{\mathfrak{n}}^i(L_\alpha)) = \ell(\text{Ext}_{S_\alpha}^{t-i}(L_\alpha, S_\alpha)) < \infty$ for $i = 0, \dots, d-1$. Hence L_α is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay S_α -module. By Proposition 3.3, $\text{Ext}_{S_\alpha}^{t-i}(L_\alpha, S_\alpha) \cong \text{Ext}_S^{t-i}(L, S)_\alpha$. If k is a perfect field, we may apply Proposition 2.8 to obtain

$$\ell(H_{\mathfrak{n}}^i(L_\alpha)) = \ell(\text{Ext}_S^{t-i}(L, S)_\alpha) = \ell(\text{Ext}_S^{t-i}(L, S)) = \ell(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(L)). \quad \square$$

The structure of a generalized Cohen-Macaulay module is best described by standard systems of parameters [T3]. Recall that a system of parameters $a_1, \dots, a_d$ of L is *standard* if $(a_1, \dots, a_d)H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(L/(a_1, \dots, a_j)L) = 0$ for all non-negative integers i, j with $i+j < d$. It may be also characterized by the property that $a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_d^{n_d}$ is a d -sequence for all positive numbers $n_1, \dots, n_d$ and for any permutation of $a_1, \dots, a_d$. An $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of S is called a *standard ideal* for L if every system of parameters of L contained in $\mathfrak{a}$ is standard. In particular, L is a Buchsbaum module if and only if the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ is a standard ideal for L .

Theorem 3.7. *Let L be a finitely generated S -module and $\mathfrak{a}$ a standard ideal for L . Then $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$ is a standard ideal for L_α for almost all α .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an L -base for $\mathfrak{a}$, that is a generating set for $\mathfrak{a}$ such that every family of d elements of $\mathcal{B}$ is a system of parameters of L , where $d = \dim L$. It is known that $\mathfrak{a}$ is a standard ideal for L if and only if every family $a_1, \dots, a_d$ of elements of $\mathcal{B}$ is a standard system of parameters of L [T3, Proposition 3.2]. Let $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$ denote the set of the specialized elements of $\mathcal{B}$ in S_α . By Lemma 2.4, $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$ is a generating set for $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$. By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 we have

$$L_\alpha / ((a_1)_\alpha, \dots, (a_j)_\alpha)L_\alpha = L_\alpha / ((a_1, \dots, a_j)L)_\alpha = (L / (a_1, \dots, a_j)L)_\alpha$$

for $j = 1, \dots, d$. By Theorem 2.6 (ii), $\dim L_\alpha = d$ and $\dim L_\alpha / ((a_1)_\alpha, \dots, (a_d)_\alpha)L_\alpha = \dim L / (a_1, \dots, a_d)L = 0$. Hence $(a_1)_\alpha, \dots, (a_d)_\alpha$ is also a system of parameters of L_α . Thus, $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$ is an L_α -base for $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$. By Lemma 3.5,

$$\text{Ann } H_{\mathfrak{n}}^i(L_\alpha / ((a_1)_\alpha, \dots, (a_j)_\alpha)L_\alpha) = (\text{Ann } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(L / (a_1, \dots, a_j)L))_\alpha$$

for $i \geq 0$. If $i+j < d$, we have $(a_1, \dots, a_d) \subseteq \text{Ann } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(L / (a_1, \dots, a_j)L)$. Hence

$$((a_1)_\alpha, \dots, (a_d)_\alpha)H_{\mathfrak{n}}^i(L_\alpha / ((a_1)_\alpha, \dots, (a_j)_\alpha)L_\alpha) = 0.$$

Thus, every family of d elements in $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$ is a standard system of parameters of L_α . By [T3, Proposition 3.2] we can conclude that $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$ is a standard ideal for L_α . $\square$

Corollary 3.8. *Let L be a Buchsbaum S -module. Then L_α is a Buchsbaum S_α -module for almost all α if k is a perfect field.*

Proof. Using Proposition 2.8 we can see that $\mathfrak{m}_\alpha = \mathfrak{n}$ is the maximal ideal of S_α . Since $\mathfrak{m}$ is a standard ideal for L , $\mathfrak{m}_\alpha$ is a standard ideal for L_α by Theorem 3.7. Hence L_α is a Buchsbaum module. $\square$

4. SPECIALIZATION OF FACTOR RINGS OF R_P

The aim of this section is to study properties of the factor ring $S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$, where $\mathfrak{a}$ is an arbitrary ideal of $S = R_P$. For those properties which can be defined for modules we refer to Section 2 and Section 3. Note that $S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha = (S/\mathfrak{a})_\alpha$ by Lemma 2.3.

Following [Kr2] we call an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of S an *absolutely prime ideal* if the extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $S \otimes_{k(u)} \overline{k(u)}$ is a prime ideal, where $\overline{k(u)}$ denote the algebraic closure of $k(u)$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an absolutely prime ideal of S . Then $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$ is a prime ideal for almost all α .*

Proof. Let I be the prime ideal of R such that $\mathfrak{a} = IS$. Then the extension of I in $\overline{k(u)}[X]$ is also a prime ideal. By [Kr2, Satz 16], I_α is a prime ideal. But $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha = I_\alpha S_\alpha$ by Corollary 1.6. Hence $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$ is a prime ideal of S_α . $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of S such that $S/\mathfrak{a}$ is a Gorenstein ring. Then $S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$ is a Gorenstein ring for almost all α .*

Proof. Let $r = \text{ht } \mathfrak{a}$. By [BH, Theorem 3.3.7], $S/\mathfrak{a}$ is a Gorenstein ring if and only if $S/\mathfrak{a}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring and $\text{Ext}_S^r(S/\mathfrak{a}, S) \cong S/\mathfrak{a}$. By Corollary 2.7, $\text{ht } \mathfrak{a}_\alpha = r$. By Corollary 3.2, $S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. By Proposition 3.3,

$$\text{Ext}_{S_\alpha}^r(S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha, S_\alpha) \cong \text{Ext}_S^r(S/\mathfrak{a}, S)_\alpha \cong (S/\mathfrak{a})_\alpha \cong S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha.$$

Hence $S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$ is a Gorenstein ring. $\square$

Now we will show that specializations preserve the non-Cohen-Macaulay locus and the singular locus. For convenience we denote these locus by N_{CM} and Sing , respectively. Moreover, for any ideal I , we denote by $V(I)$ the set of prime ideals which contain I .

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an arbitrary ideal of S . There is an ideal $\mathfrak{b} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$ with $N_{\text{CM}}(S/\mathfrak{a}) = V(\mathfrak{b})$ such that for almost all α ,*

$$N_{\text{CM}}(S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha) = V(\mathfrak{b}_\alpha).$$

Proof. Let $d = \dim S/\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_i = \text{Ann } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(S/\mathfrak{a})$. Then $\dim S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha = \dim S/\mathfrak{a} = d$ and

$$(\mathfrak{a}_i)_\alpha = (\text{Ann } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(S/\mathfrak{a}))_\alpha = \text{Ann } H_{\mathfrak{n}}^i((S/\mathfrak{a})_\alpha) = \text{Ann } H_{\mathfrak{n}}^i(S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha)$$

by Lemma 3.5. Put $\mathfrak{b} = \prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{j>i} (\mathfrak{a}_i + \mathfrak{a}_j)$. Then $N_{\text{CM}}(S/\mathfrak{a}) = V(\mathfrak{b})$ by [Sc, Korollar 6]. By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5 we have

$$\mathfrak{b}_\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{j>i} (\mathfrak{a}_i + \mathfrak{a}_j)_\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{j>i} ((\mathfrak{a}_i)_\alpha + (\mathfrak{a}_j)_\alpha).$$

Hence $N_{\text{CM}}(S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha) = V(\mathfrak{b}_\alpha)$. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Let I be an ideal of R . There is an ideal $J \supseteq I$ with $\text{Sing}(R/I) = V(J)$ such that for almost all α ,*

$$\text{Sing}(R_\alpha/I_\alpha) = V(J_\alpha).$$

Proof. We begin with some observation on the singular locus of R/I . Let $r = \text{ht } I$ and A a Jacobian matrix of I . Let J_1 denote the ideal generated by the $r \times r$ minors of A . Let $Q \supseteq I$ be a prime ideal such that $(R/I)_Q$ is not regular. If $\text{ht } IR_Q = r$, $Q \in V(J_1)$. If $\text{ht } IR_Q > r$, Q does not contain any associated prime ideal of I of height r . Let I_r denote the intersection of the associated prime ideals of I of height r . Let $I' = \cup_{t \geq 1} I : I_r^t$. Then $I'R_Q = IR_Q$. Hence $Q \in \text{Sing}(R/I')$. So we obtain

$$\text{Sing}(R/I) = V(J_1) \cup \text{Sing}(R/I').$$

Note that $\text{ht } I' > r$ because I' is the intersection of all primary components of I whose associated primes do not contain I_r .

By [T2, Lemma 1.1], $\text{ht } I_\alpha = r$. By Lemma 2.4, every generating set of an ideal of R specialize to a set of generators of the specialized ideal. Hence A_α is a Jacobian matrix of I_α and $(J_1)_\alpha$ is the ideal generated by the $r \times r$ minors of A_α . Let $P_1, \dots, P_s$ be the associated prime ideals of I of height r . We have $(I_r)_\alpha = (P_1)_\alpha \cap \dots \cap (P_s)_\alpha$ by Lemma 2.3. By [Kr2, Satz 14] and [Se, Appendix, Theorem 7], each $(P_j)_\alpha$ is the intersection of prime ideals of height r . Hence these prime ideals are associated prime ideals of I_α . On the other hand, since $I' = I : I_r^t$ for t large enough, we have $I'_\alpha = \cup_{t \geq 1} (I : I_r^t)_\alpha = \cup_{t \geq 1} I_\alpha : (I_r)_\alpha^t$ by Lemma 2.5. Therefore, I'_α is the intersection of all primary components of I_α which do not contain $(I_r)_\alpha$. But $\text{ht } I'_\alpha = \text{ht } I' > r$ by [T2, Lemma 1.1]. Thus, every associated prime ideal of I_α of height r must contain $(I_r)_\alpha$. So $(I_r)_\alpha$ is the intersection of the associated prime ideals of I_α of height r . Similarly as above, we can show that

$$\text{Sing}(R_\alpha/I_\alpha) = V((J_1)_\alpha) \cup \text{Sing}(R_\alpha/I'_\alpha).$$

Now, using backward induction on r we may assume that there is an ideal $J_2 \supseteq I'$ such that $\text{Sing}(R/I') = V(J_2)$ and $\text{Sing}(R_\alpha/I'_\alpha) = V((J_2)_\alpha)$. Summing up we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sing}(R/I) &= V(J_1) \cap V(J_2) = V(J_1 + J_2), \\ \text{Sing}(R_\alpha/I_\alpha) &= V((J_1)_\alpha) \cap V((J_2)_\alpha) = V((J_1 + J_2)_\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Let $t \geq 0$ be a fixed integer. A ring A is said to satisfy the Serre's condition (S_t) if $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \min\{\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}}, t\}$ for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ or the Serre's condition (R_t) if $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ with $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} \leq t$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of S . Assume that $S/\mathfrak{a}$ satisfies one of the following properties:*

- (i) (S_t) ,
- (ii) (R_t) ,
- (iii) $S/\mathfrak{a}$ is reduced,
- (iv) $S/\mathfrak{a}$ is normal,
- (v) $S/\mathfrak{a}$ is regular.

Then $S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$ has the same property for almost all α .

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be an ideal of S such that $N_{\text{CM}}(S/\mathfrak{a}) = V(\mathfrak{b})$ and $N_{\text{CM}}(S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha) = V(\mathfrak{b}_\alpha)$ as in Lemma 4.3. Let $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}$ be an arbitrary prime ideal of S . Then $\text{depth}(S/\mathfrak{a})_{\mathfrak{p}} < \dim(S/\mathfrak{a})_{\mathfrak{p}}$. If $S/\mathfrak{a}$ satisfies (S_t) , then $\text{depth}(S/\mathfrak{a})_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq t$. Hence $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{a}) \geq t$ [BH, Proposition 1.2.10]. By Corollary 3.4, $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{b}_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha) \geq t$. Hence $S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$ satisfies (S_t) .

Similarly, using Lemma 4.4 we can find an ideal $\mathfrak{c}$ in S such that $\text{Sing}(S/\mathfrak{a}) = V(\mathfrak{c})$ and $\text{Sing}(S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha) = V(\mathfrak{c}_\alpha)$. If $S/\mathfrak{a}$ satisfies (R_t) , then $\text{ht } \mathfrak{c}/\mathfrak{a} > t$. By Corollary 2.7, $\text{ht } \mathfrak{c}_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha > t$. Hence $S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$ satisfies (R_t) .

The statement on the reduced property (normality) follows from the fact that a ring is reduced (normal) if and only if it satisfies (S_1) and (R_0) ((S_2) and (R_1)). Finally, if $S/\mathfrak{a}$ is regular, then $S/\mathfrak{a}$ satisfies (R_d) , $d = \dim S/\mathfrak{a}$. Hence $S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$ also satisfies (R_d) . Since $\dim S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha = d$ by Theorem 2.6 (ii), this implies that $S_\alpha/\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$ is regular. $\square$

Remark. One can apply the above results to study hypersurface sections. Indeed, that amounts to study rings of the form $k[X]/(I, f_\alpha)$, where I is an ideal of $k[X]$ and $f_\alpha = \alpha_1 f_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m f_m$ with given $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in k$ and $f_1, \dots, f_m \in k[X]$. These rings are specializations of the ring $k(u)[X]/(I, f)$, where $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ is a family of indeterminates and $f = u_1 f_1 + \cdots + u_m f_m$. Now we only need to find conditions on I which allows a given property to be transferred from I to (I, f) (see [H], [T1]) and to check whether this property is preserved by specializations. By this way we can reprove Bertini theorems from [Fl].

REFERENCES

- [BH] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [BE] D.A. Buchsbaum and D. Eisenbud, *What makes a complex exact ?*, J. Algebra 25 (1973), 259-268.
- [E] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [Fa] G. Faltings, *Über die Annulatoren lokaler Kohomologiegruppen*, Archiv der Math. 30 (1978), 473-476.
- [Fl] H. Flenner, *Die Sätze von Bertini für lokale Ringe*, Math. Ann. 229 (1977), 97-111.
- [H] M. Hochster, *Properties of noetherian rings stable under general grade reduction*, Archiv Math. 24 (1973), 393-396.
- [Kr1] W. Krull, *Parameterspezialisierung in Polynomringen*, Arch. Math. 1 (1948), 56-64.
- [Kr2] W. Krull, *Parameterspezialisierung in Polynomringen II, Grundpolynom*, Arch. Math. 1 (1948), 129-137.
- [Ku1] W.E. Kuan, *A note on a generic hyperplane section of an algebraic variety*, Can. J. Math. 22 (1970), 1047-1054.
- [Ku2] W.E. Kuan, *On the hyperplane section through a rational point of of an algebraic variety*, Pacific. J. Math. 36 (1971), 393-405.
- [Ku3] W.E. Kuan, *Specialization of a generic hyperplane section through a rational point of an algebraic variety*, Ann. Math. Pura Appl. 94 (1972), 75-82.
- [NT] D.V. Nhi and N.V. Trung, *Specialization of modules*, to appear in Comm. Algebra.
- [Sc] P. Schenzel, *Einige Anwendungen der lokalen Dualität und verallgemeinerte Cohen-Macaulay-Moduln*, Math. Nachr. 69 (1975), 227-242.

- [Se] A. Seidenberg, *The hyperplane sections of normal varieties*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1950), 375-386.
- [T1] N.V. Trung, *Über die Übertragung der Ringeigenschaften zwischen R und $R[u]/(F)$* , Math. Nachr. 92 (1979), 215-229.
- [T2] N.V. Trung, *Spezialisierungen allgemeiner Hyperflächenschnitte und Anwendungen*, in: Seminar D.Eisenbud/B.Singh/W.Vogel, Vol. 1, Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik, Band 29 (1980), 4-43.
- [T3] N.V. Trung, *Toward a theory of generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Nagoya Math. J. 102 (1986), 4-43.

PEDAGOGICAL COLLEGE (CDSP), THAI BINH, VIETNAM

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, BOX 631, BÒ HÒ, HANOI, VIETNAM